

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,573 12/31/2001		Robert L. Popp	KCC 4770 (K.C. NO. 17,310	4042	
321	7590 11/25/2	EXAMINER		INER	
	R POWERS OPOLITAN SQUAR	REICHLE,	REICHLE, KARIN M		
16TH FLOO	-	.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,	MO 63102		3761		
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/25/200	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/036,573	POPP ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Karin M. Reichle	3761	

	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid abai fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the first of the first of the first one of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 7. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	COUSO
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ccause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,9,28,30,31,33-37 and 40-43</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,4,5,8,11,14,16,	17,20 and 21.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the data of filing a N	ation of Annual will no	t he entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761	ė
		ALCOIN, 3701	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed changes to the definition "Oriented nonwoven loop material" on page 9, the proposed addition of a Figure 9c and the description thereof as well as the proposed changes to the descriptions of proposed Figures 9a and 9b would require further consideration not only of the specification with regard to issues of consistency and support but also the Durrance declaration, filed 6-22-05, and the Claim Language Interpretation section and prior art rejections based thereon, see paragraphs 10-13 of the FINAL. Applicant also did not address the rejections on page 8 of the FINAL. With regard to Applicant's remarks on pages 12-20, such appear to be substantially similar to those in the 6-22-05 response. Attention is therefore redirected to paragraph 14 of the FINAL. Applicant's request for a telephone interview in the last sentence of the third full paragraph on page 6 has been noted. If Applicant still desires such conference, the Examiner should be contacted by phone to arrange such.

Continuation of 13. Other: The drawings filed 11-14-05 are not approved, see NOTE in 3. supra.